

An application of 4-uniform hypergraph on geometry

- **Theorem.** (Erdős-Szekeres 1935) For any  $m \geq 4$ , there is a least  $N := N(m)$  such that for any  $N$  points in plane (which are in general position), there are  $m$  points in convex position.
- **Theorem 1.**  $N(m) \leq R^{(4)}(5, m)$ .
- **Proof.** Let  $n = R^{(4)}(5, m)$ . For any given  $n$  points in plane, we want to find  $m$  points in convex position. Define a  $K_n^{(4)}$  on the  $n$  points and define a 2-edge-coloring

$$c : \binom{[n]}{4} \rightarrow \{blue, red\}$$

by:  $c(\{ijkl\}) = blue$ , if  $i, j, k, l$  are in convex position, otherwise color red.

Since  $n = R^{(4)}(5, m)$ , this 2-edge-coloring  $c$  has either a red  $K_5^{(4)}$  or a blue  $K_m^{(4)}$ . If there is a red  $K_5^{(4)}$ , then there are 5 points such that any 4 points are not in convex position, which contradicting to fact 1. So we must have  $K_m^{(4)}$ , which means there are  $m$  points such that any 4 points are in convex position, then those  $m$  points are in convex position. ■

- **Theorem 2.**  $N(m) \leq R^{(3)}(m, m)$ .
- **Proof.** Given  $n = R^{(3)}(m, m)$  points in plane, we label them as  $1, 2, \dots, n$ . For  $i < j < k$ , define

$$c(\{ijk\}) = \begin{cases} \text{blue, if } i, j, k \text{ are clockwise,} \\ \text{red, if } i, j, k \text{ are counter clockwise.} \end{cases}$$

Note: Any non-convex polygon can NOT have all triangles clockwise (or counter clockwise). From this fact, we can obtain theorem 2. ■

- **Fact.**  $N(3) = 3, N(4) = 5, N(5) = 9, N(6) = 17$ .
- **Conjecture.** (The happy ending problem)  $N(m) = 2^{m-2} + 1$ .
- **Best bounds.**  $2^{m-2} + 1 \leq N(m) \leq \binom{2m-4}{m-2} \approx 4^m$ .

The second proof on Hypergraph Ramsey Number: The stepping up argument

- **Theorem.**  $R^{(r)}(s, t) \leq 2^{\binom{R^{(r-1)}(s-1, t-1)}{r-1}}$ .
- **Proof.** In fact, we prove  $r = 3$ , i.e.  $R^{(3)}(s, t) \leq 2^{\binom{R^{(s-1, t-1)}}{2}}$ .  
Let  $m = R(s-1, t-1) + 1$  and  $N = 2^{\binom{m-1}{2}}$ , consider  $K_N^3 = (V, \binom{V}{3})$ , consider its any 2-edge-coloring, we want to find a blue  $K_s^3$  or a red  $K_t^3$ .

**Definition:** Vertices  $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n$  are feasible for  $c$ , if there is  $\chi : \binom{[n]}{2} \rightarrow \{red, blue\}$  such that for any  $i, j, k$ ,

$$\chi(i, j) = c(\{i, j, k\})(*)$$

**Definition:** For a set  $S_n \subset V - \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$ ,  $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n, S_n$  are feasible for  $c$ , if for any  $u \in S_n$ ,  $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n, u$  are feasible for  $c$ .

**Lemma:** If there is a feasible  $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_m$  of length  $m$ , then there is a blue  $K_s^3$  or a red  $K_t^3$ .

**Proof of lemma:** Consider  $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{m-1}$ , there is  $\chi : \binom{[m-1]}{2} \rightarrow \{\text{red}, \text{blue}\}$  such that (\*) holds, then  $\chi$  has a blue  $K_{s-1}$  or a red  $K_{t-1}$ . If there is a blue  $K_{s-1}$ , say vertices  $v_{i_1}, v_{i_2}, \dots, v_{i_{s-1}}$ , then  $v_{i_1}, v_{i_2}, \dots, v_{i_{s-1}}, v_m$  form a blue  $K_s^{(3)}$  by (\*). ■

We will inductively construct feasible  $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_i, S_i$ , where  $S_i \subset V - \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_i\}$  for  $1 \leq i \leq m-1$ .

At round 1, pick any  $v_1 \in V$  and denote  $S_1 = V - \{v_1\}$ , so  $v_1, S_1$  are feasible. Assume that  $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_i, S_i$  are feasible, pick  $v_{i+1} \in S_i$  arbitrarily. For any  $u \in S_i - \{v_{i+1}\}$ , define a vector  $\vec{v}(u) = (c_1, c_2, \dots, c_i)$  where  $c_j = c(\{v_j, v_{i+1}, u\})$  for  $1 \leq j \leq i$ . For  $u_1, u_2 \in S_i - \{v_{i+1}\}$ , we say  $u_1 \sim u_2$  are equivalent if  $\vec{v}(u_1) = \vec{v}(u_2)$ . Note that  $\vec{v}(u)$  has  $2^i$  choices, so we can partition  $S_i - \{v_{i+1}\}$  into  $2^i$  equivalent classes. Let  $S_{i+1}$  be the largest one of equivalent classes, namely,  $|S_{i+1}| \geq 2^{-i}(|S_i| - 1)$ , note that  $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{i+1}, S_{i+1}$  are still feasible. Let  $s_i = |S_i|$ . If  $s_{m-1} \geq 1$ , then  $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_m$  are feasible.

$$\begin{aligned}
s_{m-1} &\geq 2^{-(m-2)}s_{m-2} - 2^{-(m-2)} \\
&\geq 2^{-(m-2)}(2^{-(m-3)}s_{m-3} - 2^{-(m-3)}) - 2^{-(m-2)} \\
&\geq \dots \\
&\geq 2^{-[(m-2)+(m-3)+\dots+1]}s_1 - \sum_{j=1}^{m-2} 2^{-\sum_{i=j}^{m-2}} \\
&= 2^{-\binom{m-1}{2}}s_1 - \sum_{j=1}^{m-2} 2^{-\sum_{i=j}^{m-2}} \\
&= 2^{-\binom{m-1}{2}}N - 2^{-\binom{m-1}{2}} - \sum_{j=1}^{m-2} 2^{-\sum_{i=j}^{m-2}} > 0
\end{aligned}$$

So  $s_{m-1} > 0$ , there is a feasible sequence  $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_m$ . ■